



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/734,860  | 12/12/2003  | Janaki Krishnaswamy  | ORA012 US           | 1532             |
| 56135 7590 07/17/2008<br>Silicon Valley Patent Group LLP<br>18805 Cox Avenue<br>SUITE 220<br>Saratoga, CA 95070 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| PHAM, HUNG Q  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT  |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 2168  |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE   |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 07/17/2008  |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/734,860

**Applicant(s)**

KRISHNASWAMY ET AL.

**Examiner**

HUNG Q. PHAM

**Art Unit**

2168

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) HUNG Q. PHAM.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) OMKAR SURYADEVARA.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 09 July 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 18.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: As indicated in the Final Office Action 04/09/08, claim 18 was allowed. Applicant would like to replace the clause "a storage device encoded with" in the preamble of claim 18 by the clause "a non-volatile medium comprising" and further limit the recited "non-volatile medium" to "an optical disk" and "a magnetic disk" by new claims 22 and 23. These changes will be entered by the examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/HUNG Q. PHAM/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2168

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.